UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,241	01/03/2006	Michael Schmelz	MERCK-3103	8682
23599 7590 04/10/2009 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			EXAMINER	
			NAGPAUL, JYOTI	
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/563,241	SCHMELZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JYOTI NAGPAUL	1797				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Fe</u>	bruary 2009					
	action is non-final.					
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under L.	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2 and 4-21</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
TT) The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex-	anniner. Note the attached Office	Action of form FTO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some color None of: <ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Art Unit: 1797

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Amendment filed on February 5, 2009 has been acknowledged. Claims 1-2 and 4-21 are pending.

# Response to Amendment

Rejection of Claims 1-5 and 8-11 as being anticipated by Sutton (US 6737022) has been withdrawn in light of applicants' amendments.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-5 and 8-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sutton (US 6074880).

Sutton teaches a system and method for ejection of sample analyte. The system comprises an exit aperture (HT) for substances involved in a reaction, an aperture (in Figure 4 the right side of tube (T) going to waste line) of a suction line (T) is arranged laterally alongside the exit aperture (HT). (Refer to Figures 2a and 4) Sutton further teaches a reduced pressure can be generated in the suction line (T) for aspiration of the substance exiting from the exit aperture (HT). Sutton further teaches the suction line (T) is connected to a vacuum source. (Refer to Col. 7, Lines 36-37) Sutton furterh teaches a housing (CM') having an outlet aperture (HT) for substances exiting from the exit aperture (HT) and having a passage aperture (SA) for the suction line (T) is arranged

Art Unit: 1797

around the exit port. The suction line (T) further runs into a collecting vessel (waste) that is connected to a vacuum line. (Refer to Col. 7, Lines 63-37) the exit aperture is in the form of a capillary. (Refer to Figure 4) With respect to claim 8, applicants recite "in that a protective-gas atmosphere which displaces atmospheric moisture and maintained in the region of the exit aperture", this limitation is a method limitation and is of no patentable significance in apparatus claims. Sutton teaches the claimed structure and therefore is capable of performing the above limitation. Sutton further teaches an aperture of a compressed air line (APM) arranged laterally alongside the exit aperture (HT).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 1797

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sutton in view of Sutton (6737022).

Refer above for the teachings of Sutton.

Sutton fails to teach the device is heatable in the region of the exit aperture.

Sutton teaches a system for extracting liquid droplets into a container. The device allows sample to be heated passing through a coil.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the device of Sutton a heatable region of the exit aperture to achieve the predictable results of obtaining the desired temperature conditions for mixing and proper chemical analysis.

#### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 4-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Refer to the rejection above.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1797

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JYOTI NAGPAUL whose telephone number is (571)272-1273. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday (10:00-7:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JN /Jill Warden/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797